

## Efficiency, Improvement & Transformation

### Review Title: Fair Access To Care (FACS)

#### Scope

<b>Scrutiny Chair:</b> Cllr Ann Cains	<b>Contact details:</b> patricia.cains@stockton.gov.uk 01642 555394
<b>Cabinet Member:</b> Cllr Ann McCoy	<b>Contact details:</b> ann.mccoy@stockton.gov.uk 01642 535604
<b>Scrutiny Officer:</b> Peter Mennear	<b>Contact details:</b> peter.mennear@stockton.gov.uk 01642 528957
<b>Lead Officer:</b> Ruth Hill, Head of Adult Strategy	<b>Contact details:</b> ruth.hill@stockton.gov.uk 01642 527055
<b>Independent Officer:</b> Dawn Welsh, Neighbourhood Renewal Manager	<b>Contact details:</b> dawn.welsh@stockton.gov.uk 01642 526011
<b>Finance Officer:</b> Michelle Graham	<b>Contact details:</b> 01642 527490 michelle.graham@stockton.gov.uk
<b>Select Committee:</b> Health	<b>Type of Review:</b> Scrutiny Review
<b>1. What services are included?</b>  The review covers the policy in relation to <b>Fair Access to Care</b> . The national framework is based around 4 levels of need: Critical, Substantial, Moderate, Low. People assessed as being within one of these bands are said to have 'eligible' needs and councils are required to decide which bands of need they will provide for.  SBC provides care across 3 bands (Moderate to Critical) for all adult social services, and across all 4 bands for aids/equipment. National guidance indicates that authorities should take account of their resources when setting eligibility criteria.  SBC is one of 2 NE authorities that offer care for 3 to 4 bands. This has been seen as supporting a preventative approach to care - however there are both performance and financial consequences arising from the current position. In line with the general population, the Borough is expected to experience an ageing population.  Review needs to consider: whether the current levels are sustainable, whether different models of service can be identified as alternatives to the traditional social care assessment model (see box 6).	
<b>2. The Thematic Select Committee's overall aim / objectives in doing this work is:</b>  To identify options for future strategy / policy / service provision that will deliver efficiency savings and sustain / improve high quality outcomes for SBC residents.	

**3. Expected duration of enquiry? What are the key milestones?**

- 9 months.
- Baseline/Challenge - 18 May/22 June/ 3 August / 14 September
- Identifying opportunities for efficiency and improvement – 26 October
- Reviewing and selecting the best options – 14 December
- Select Committee Agreement of report/recommendations – 25 January
- Report to Cabinet with recommended EIT options - 11 February 2010

**4. In addition to analysis and benchmarking costs, performance, assets etc, what other processes are likely to be required to inform the review? (e.g. site visits; observations; face-to-face questioning, telephones survey, written questionnaire, co-option of expert witnesses etc).**

- Detailed baseline/challenge documentation including legal framework and case studies
- Research into alternative practice/experience in other local authority areas
  - IDeA research into alternative practice
  - examples of the experience of regional authorities
  - examples of authorities in national benchmarking group
    - including those that have reduced the number of bands provided for, but have maintained or improved their performance/inspection ratings, and their experiences in this regard (and experiences of alternative outcomes following changes to FACS Bands)
  - examples of alternative arrangements/ services that may be put in place to support those who are not eligible.
- Evidence in meetings from:
  - Social Care Directors from neighbouring authorities – Hartlepool and Middlesbrough BCs
  - Leading authorities as identified via IDeA research
- Legal advice as appropriate
- Government Green Paper on Care and Support (June 09). [The green paper may have a prescriptive impact on this review]

**5. How will key partners and/or the public be involved and at what stages?**

Potential consultation event linked into publication of Green Paper.  
[No targeted publicity until that stage.]

**6. Please give an initial indication how transformation will enable efficiencies and improvements to be delivered by this EIT review?**

Improved performance – chosen FACS bandings can have an impact on performance in relation to the amount of care provided, and the time/resources associated with this. A high volume of work can result in pressure on performance against key indicators relating to the timeliness of assessments and provision of care packages. There has been some recent improvement but currently the area is a relatively low performer compared to benchmark

groups.

Efficiency savings – a sample of other authorities indicates that a saving of c.£250k - £300k per annum may be achieved by removing the Moderate FACS banding.

Alternative service delivery – could a different model of service offer alternatives to the traditional social care assessment model and could support the preventative approach in line with the requirements of the 'Personalisation' agenda?